Filed : April 26, 2001

Page : 2 of 18

## Amendments to the Claims

The following Listing of Claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

## Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A machine-implemented method of classifying an instance into one or more classes selected from a set of potential classes, comprising:

selecting from the set of potential classes a subset of two or more of the potential classes to which the instance is determined to most likely belong; and

applying to the instance a scrutiny classifier generated from a set of training records, each including a respective class label matching a corresponding to a class in set inclusive of the selected subset of classes, to identify at least one class to which the instance most likely belongs.

Claim 2 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein the subset of classes is selected based upon assignment to each of the potential classes a probability estimate of the instance belonging to the class.

Claim 3 (original): The method of claim 2, wherein the selected subset of classes consists of a preselected number of potential classes having highest assigned probability estimates.

Claim 4 (original): The method of claim 2, wherein the selected subset of classes consists of a number of potential classes having highest assigned probability estimates and a cumulative assigned probability estimate exceeding a preselected threshold.

Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 2, wherein the probability estimates are assigned to each potential class by applying to the instance a ballpark classifier generated from a set of training records corresponding to the set of potential classes.

Filed : April 26, 2001

Page : 3 of 18

Claim 6 (original): The method of claim 5, wherein the ballpark classifier is generated by a Naïve Bayes inducing algorithm.

Claim 7 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein the subset of classes is selected based at least in part upon a prescribed misclassification cost.

Claim 8 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein the scrutiny classifier is generated by a Naïve Bayes inducing algorithm.

Claim 9 (original): The method of claim 1, wherein the scrutiny classifier is generated by a decision tree inducing algorithm.

Claim 10 (original): The method of claim 1, further comprising generating the scrutiny classifier from the set of training records.

Claim 11 (currently amended): The method of claim 10, wherein the scrutiny classifier is generated on the fly from the [[a]] set of training records corresponding to the selected subset of classes after the subset of classes has been selected.

Claim 12 (original): The method of claim 10, wherein the scrutiny classifier is generated beforehand in anticipation of the instance to be classified.

Claim 13 (original): The method of claim 12, wherein the scrutiny classifier is generated based upon an occurrence probability estimate for the inclusive class set.

Claim 14 (original): The method of claim 13, further comprising selecting an inclusive class set encompassing the selected subset of classes from which to generate the scrutiny classifier.

Claim 15 (original): The method of claim 1, further comprising applying to the instance a classifier generated from a set of training records corresponding to two or more

Filed : April 26, 2001

Page : 4 of 18

classes identified by the scrutiny classifier to identify at least one class to which the instance is determined to most likely belong.

Claim 16 (currently amended): A data processing <u>machine</u> system for classifying an instance into one or more classes selected from a set of potential classes, comprising:

a ballpark classifier configured to select from the set of potential classes a subset of two or more classes to which the instance is determined to most likely belong; and

a scrutiny classifier generated from a set of training records, each including a respective class label matching a corresponding to a class in the set inclusive of a subset of classes selected by the ballpark classifier for a given instance, the scrutiny classifier being configured to identify from the selected subset of classes at least one class to which the instance most likely belongs.

Claim 17 (currently amended): The <u>machine system</u> of claim 16, wherein the ballpark classifier is generated from a set of training records corresponding to the set of potential classes.

Claim 18 (currently amended): The <u>machine</u> system of claim 16, further comprising an inducer configured to generate a scrutiny classifier from a subset of the training records corresponding to a class set inclusive of a subset of classes selected by the ballpark classifier for a given instance.

Claim 19 (currently amended): The <u>machine system</u> of claim 18, wherein the inducer is configured to generate the scrutiny classifier on the fly from the [[a]] set of training records corresponding to the selected subset of classes after the subset of classes has been selected.

Claim 20 (currently amended): A computer program residing on a computer-readable medium for causing a processor executing the computer program to classifying an instance into one or more classes selected from a set of potential classes, the computer program comprising instructions to:

Filed : April 26, 2001

Page : 5 of 18

select from the set of potential classes a subset of two or more classes to which the instance is determined to most likely belong; and

apply to the instance a scrutiny classifier generated from a set of training records, each including a respective class label matching a corresponding to a class in set inclusive of the selected subset of classes, to identify at least one class to which the instance most likely belongs.